Chloroplast Genome-Based Baraminology Study of Liliales

Matthew Cserhati*

Abstract

The mitochondrial DNA has been used widely in molecular baraminology studies. Besides the mitochondrion, the chloroplasts of plants harbor an entire organelle genome, which can also be used to identify putative groups, which can be compared to those identified in studies based on mitochondrial DNA, nuclear DNA, and morphological characters. The ten-fold size of the chloroplast genome allows a much larger sequence space to be analyzed, but it also brings its own set of analytical challenges to the table.

In this study, the chloroplast genomes of 163 species from the plant order Liliales were examined, with the genus *Stemona* (order Pandanales) as an outgroup. A multiple alignment was created, and a sequence similarity matrix was derived from it, which was then clustered into several putative holobaramins. Of these, six groups had more than five members. These putative baramins are: *Tulipa+Amana*, *Disporum*, *Fritillaria+Lilium+Nomocharis+Notholirion*, *Daiswa+Paris+Trillium*, *Smilax*, and *Veratrum*.

Compared to the results of a previous morphological analysis, there are some discordances. The previous analysis separates *Trillium* from *Daiswa* and *Paris*, and unites *Alstroemeria* with *Disporum*. Ultimately, genetics should decide baraminic classification, albeit the chloroplast genome still makes up only a small fragment of the entire plant genome. Following this study, it is hoped that chloroplast genome analysis may enrich the toolkit of molecular baraminology.

Key Words: Amana, chloroplast DNA, Disporum, Fritillaria, molecular baraminology, Liliales, organelle DNA, Paris, Tulipa, Veratrum

^{*} Matthew Cserhati, matthew.cserhati@cui.edu Accepted for publication July 27, 2023

Introduction

Organelle genomes are useful in analyzing species relationships via sequence similarities. Their small size makes them easy to sequence, and occur abundantly in cells. Mitochondrial DNA also do not undergo the kind of genetic recombination that nuclear genes do. However, their short length limits the kind of conclusions that we can draw from using them in organelle DNA studies.

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) have been widely used in molecular baraminology studies for decades. Some mtDNA studies have been performed in turtles (Robinson et al., 1997), cats, dogs, and horses (Wood, 2013). Cserhati analyzed mtDNA in bats (Cserhati, 2021), cephalopods (O'Micks, 2018), birds (Cserhati and Alquist, 2019), primates (Cserhati, 2022), and pinnipeds (Cserhati and Moynaugh, 2022).

Chloroplast studies, on the other hand, are almost non-existent in the molecular baraminology literature. Wood and Cavanaugh (2001) studied the relationships between eleven species of Flaveria (yellowtops), and found that C3, C4, and C3-C4 species segregate into separate monobaramins, based on the sequence similarity of the H subunit of the glycine carboxylase system gene (gcsH). Furthermore, the sequence similarity of the NADH dehydrogenase gene (ndhF) calculated by Kim and Jansen (1995) for the family Asteraceae was used by Wood and Cavanaugh to assess baraminic relationships within the subtribe Flaveriinae and other groups within Asteraceae.

However, no such genome analysis has been carried out on chloroplast genomes for a large number of plant species. Compared to the mitochondrial genome, the chloroplast genome is much longer, around 150 Kbp. It contains 100–150 coding sequences, genes, rRNAs and tRNAs, compared to the 37 of the mtDNA. It is made up of four genomic regions, two inverted repeats (IR), a large and a small single copy (LSC, SSC), and a small copy region (SCR). This makes it harder to align these longer sequences, so alternative alignment software, such as MAFFT may be used as opposed to ClustalW or ClustalO. Furthermore, chloroplast DNA also undergoes recombination. On the other hand, since plant cells also contain mitochondria, it may be possible to supplement chloroplast studies with mitochondrial and also morphological studies.

In this study, my goal is to study the sequence similarity between plants in the order Liliales (lilies). Lilies are monocotyledonous flowering plants and are angiosperms. It is made up of 10 families, 67 genera and 1,768 species. They are characterized by a bulbous rhizome and six alternating tepals. Similar to mtDNA studies, I aim to determine baraminic relationships by clustering these 163 species based on global chloroplast genome sequence similarity.

Materials and Methods

Sequence data

The chloroplast genomes of 165 species from Liliales were downloaded from the NCBI Organelle Genome Browser at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/ browse#!/organelles. Four chloroplast genomes from the genus Stemona (order Pandanales) were also downloaded as outlier species. Outgroups may be used in baraminology studies to compare the organisms under study to a group that can reasonably be identified as a separate holobaramin. If clusters are found in the data set under analysis that are comparable to the outgroup holobaramin, then that supports the conclusions drawn from the study. A list of species that were studied can be found in Supplementary File 1. Disporum bodinieri and Lilium souliei were removed because their sequence origin was irregular compared to all other sequences (which began with the

gene psbA). Since generally the level of the holobaramin is the family, the order Liliales was chosen, making it likely to find multiple holobaramins within this larger taxonomic group.

Software

The sequence aligner software MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2014) was used because it can align longer sequences compared to mtDNA. Its high speed is also desirable. MAFFT was run on the chloroplast genomes with default parameters.

The Calinski-Harabasz index (Ujjwal and Sanghamitra, 2002) was calculated to help determine the optimal number of baramins in the sequence similarity matrix using the cascadeKM command from the 'vegan' package.

Baraminic heatmaps were created using R, version 4.1.0 using the heatmap command, and clusters were determined with the 'single' method. The results of clustering and group statistics are also available in Supplementary File 1.

Baraminic trees were drawn for three putative clusters (assumed to be holobaramins) in Figures 2-4 using the WPGMA (weighted pair group method with arithmetic mean) method. For this the 'wpgma' command was used in R with default parameters. The linkage clustering method used by the wpgma command is 'average.' In average linkage clustering, the distance between two clusters is equal to the average of all of the distances between all pairs of entities in the two clusters. WPGMA was preferred over UPGMA, since UPGMA assumes a uniform base pair substitution rate (Göker, 2011).

The elbow, silhouette, and Calinski-Harabasz values for 1–20 clusters can be found in Supplementary File 2. The Organelle DNA Lineages (ODL) software was used to generate chloroplast genome maps for *Veratrum* species as well as *Xerophyllum tanax*. All supplementary files are available on Zenodo at https://zenodo.org/record/7686930#.Y_7fzh_MLrc.



Figure 1. Heatmap showing tentative baraminic relationships between 163 Lilailes species. Dark green areas represent higher sequence similarity between the clp genomes of species within the same baramin. Yellow colors denote lower sequence similarity between species from two different baramins.

See the end of the paper for a description of each of the supplementary files.

Determination of species clusters as possible holobaramins

The fundamental tenet of baraminology (FTB) states that there exists significant discontinuity between baramins, and significant continuity within baramins. As such, Student's t-test p-values were used to determine the statistical significance of the tentative species clusters resulting from the cluster analysis. For each cluster, two sets of sequence similarity values were analyzed using Student's t-test. The first set of values comes from sequence comparisons between the species in a given cluster. The second set of values

comes from comparing sequences in the cluster versus all other species used in the analysis. Therefore, the p-value unites the statistical significance of both outward dissimilarity (discontinuity) and inward similarity (continuity), as required by the FTB.

Biblical Analysis

Lilies are mentioned 17 times in the Bible (1 Kings 7:19, 22, 26; 2 Chronicles 4:5; Psalm 45:1, 60:1, 69:1, 80:1; Song 2:1–2, 16, 4:5, 5:13, 6:2–3, 7:2; Hosea 14:5; Matthew 6:28; Luke 12:27). Together with all land plants, they were created on Day 3 of Creation Week (Genesis 1:11–12). Most of these verses involve poetic descriptions of lilies, describing how their shape and vivid coloration exceeds the glory of even the greatest kings (Luke 12:27). However, the Bible doesn't let us draw any conclusions about how lilies are grouped or subdivided.

Song 2:1 appears to compare lilies to roses: "I am the rose of Sharon, and the lily of the valleys." However, this is only a poetic comparison, and not much can be concluded from it. Song 2:2 says this: "Like a lily among thorns, So is my love among the daughters." This seems to suggest a discontinuity between lilies and thorny plants, such as roses. Thus, we can say that lilies and thorny plants (such as roses) belong to separate baramins.

Morphology-Based Baraminology Analyses of Liliales

Wood (2008) performed morphologybased baraminology analysis using the BDIST software on three data sets from three families of Liliales: Alstroemeriaceae, Pontederiaceae, and Trilliaceae. Of these, the first and the third analysis is of interest to this study.

Wood found continuity between the genera *Luzuriaga*, *Uvularia*, *Disporum*, and *Dioscorea*. These four genera showed discontinuity with species from two other genera, namely *Alstroemeria* and *Bomarea*, which showed continuity between themselves. This corresponds to two holobaramins within Alstroemeriaceae.

Wood found evidence for two more holobaramins within the family Trilliaceae. These two holobaramins show continuity within themselves and discontinuity between each other. The first holobaramin is made up of almost exclusively of species from the genus *Trillidum*, plus the species *Pseudotrillium rivale*. The second holobaramin is made up of species from *Paris*, *Kinugasa*, and *Daiswa*.

Results and Discussion

The heatmap showing the baraminic relationships between the 163 species can be seen in Figure 1. The Hopkins clustering statistic is 0.965, which reflects extremely good clustering. The Elbow plot in Supplementary Figure l is a bit difficult to interpret. The total within sum of squares (twss) value drops very shallowly from three to four clusters: from 30.64 to 30.18, which is a difference of only 1.5%. However, from four to five clusters, the twss value drops to 11.03, but then rises again to 16.49 for six clusters. Afterward, the twss value rises and falls, making it hard to discern the optimal number of clusters.

The Silhouette plot in Supplementary Figure 2 also shows a similar difficulty in determining the optimal number of clusters in the data, as the maximum Silhouette value varies widely.

On the other hand, the Calinski-Harabasz index (depicted in Supplementary Figure 3) presented a clearer picture, showing an optimal 16 clusters with a Calinski-Harabasz index of 969.2. All 16 of these putative baramins have a statistically significant p-value.

These 16 clusters were examined more closely. The first cluster is made up of only two species, an *Alstroemeria* hybrid and *Luzuriaga radicans*. This contradicts the morphological evidence of Wood (2008) who separates *Alstroemeria* and *Luzuriaga*. In this study, these two species do not provide solid enough evidence to join these two genera together into a single holobaramin.

The second cluster is made up of 15 species from the two genera Amana and *Tulipa*, two genera from the family Liliaceae. Both of these groups are known as tulips, Amana species being known as East Asian tulips (Li et al., 2017). Several authors even place Amana within Tulipa (Liang, 1995). Other studies place Amana and Tulipa, as well as the genus *Erythronium* into a monophyletic group (Kim et al., 2013). Compared to Tulipa, Amana has lost the infA gene (translation initiation factor 1), and its rps19 gene lies entirely within the LSC region in Amana edulis, as opposed to Tulipa species where rps19 lies on the boundary between the LSC and the IRb. Furthermore, the ndhF gene lies entirely within the SSC region in A. edulis, whereas it transverses the IRb/SSC boundary (see Figure 2 in Li et al., 2021).

A baraminic tree showing species from *Tulipa* and *Amana* can be seen in Figure 2. *T. patens*, *T. thianschanica*, *T. schrenkii*, *T. iliensis*, *T. gesneriana*, and *T. sinkiangensis* form a visible monobaramin compared to the seven *Amana* species. *T. altaica* is an outlier species compared to the other 14 species. Its clp genome length (146,877 bp) is shorter than the average of the other *Tulipa* species (151,986 bp) (Zhou et al., 2019). Its GC% is also at 37.1%, compared to the mean value of $36.6 \pm 0.03\%$ (p = 1.08 x 10⁻⁶²) of all the other species. It also has only 81 coding sequences compared to 87 for all the other *Tulipa* species (with *T*. gesneriana having 85 coding sequences). A list of deletions greater than 100 bp from the T. altaica clp genome compared to the other genomes of Tulipa can be seen in Table I. Together, these deletions make up 5,566 bp, which makes up 3.8% of the 146,877 bp genome of *T*. altaica. The multiple alignment file is available online as Supplementary File 3. The following 15 genes and rRNA molecules are missing from the clp genome of T. altaica, which are present in the clp genomes of the other Tulipa species are listed in Table II. The gene order of the Tulipa species can be found in Supplementary File 4.

The third cluster consists of five species: Calochortus uniflorus, Prosartes lanuginosa, Scoliopus bigelovii, Tricyrtis formosana, and Tricyrtis macropoda. These five species come from three separate families within Liliales, Liliaceae, Colchicaceae, and Melanthiaceae. The placement of these species has been in flux. Various authors have placed Tricyrtis as a sister group to Calochortus, whereas others have allied it with Scoliopus and Prosartes. The position of Tricyrtis has varied in evolutionary phylogenetic trees based on the *rbc*L and *ndh*F genes, thus highlighting the usefulness of whole genome sequence analysis as opposed to single genes (Patterson and Givnish, 2002).

Cluster #4 consists of a single species, *Campynema lineare* (the green mountain lily), found in Tasmania. This species is the only one that belongs to the genus *Campynema*, which belongs to the family Campynemataceae. Vinnersten and Bremer (2001) report that this family is monophyletic, suggesting that it is a holobaramin. Interestingly, this species is the least similar compared to all the rest of the species, with an



Figure 2 (*above*). Baraminic tree of the tentative Tulipa holobaramin.

Figure 3 (*right*). Baraminic tree of the tentative Lilieae holobaramin.

average clp genome sequence similarity of 0.745. Some evolutionists claim that *C. lineare* is the most basal, and thus the most ancient member of the order Liliales (Janssen, 2004). However, if this were true, then it should also be the most diverse group—quite the opposite is true.

The next cluster (#5) consists of only two species, *Clintonia udensis* and *Lloydia tibetica*. These two species belong to separate genera in the family Liliaceae.

Cluster #6 has four species in it, Colchicum autumnale, Disporum sessile, Gloriosa superba, and Iphigenia indica. All these species belong to different genera in the family Colchicaceae. However, D. sessile appears to be misplaced, as the next cluster (#7) con-

Lilieae baramin



tains four Disporum species: D. cantoniense, D. megalanthum, D. uniflorum, and D. viridescens. It might be possible to consolidate clusters 6 and 7 into one putative baramin. The clp genomes of all five Disporum species were aligned with MAFFT, and it was found that D. sessile contains several large indels compared to the other four Disporum species. The multiple alignment file is available online as Supplementary File 5. These indels are listed in Table III. The total length of all those indels longer than 50 bp comes up to 5,469 bp, which makes up 3.4% of the 159,102 bp clp genome of D. sessile. Whereas D. sessile has an average sequence similarity of only 93.7% with the other four Dis*porum* species, these other four species have an average sequence similarity of 99.4% among themselves. A large part of the 5.7% difference could be due to these indel sequences. The DNA insertions within the *D. sessile* genome may possibly lead to the formation of a monobaramin within Disporum.

The next cluster (#8) is made up of 67 species from the genera Fritillaria, Lilium, Nomocharis, and Notholirion. These are all members of the family Liliaceae. These four genera and Cardiocrinum comprise the tribe Lilieae (Li et al., 2022). Some taxonomies accommodate Nomocharis into Lilium (Gao and Gao, 2016). The GC% of the species in this study also fits into a narrow range (36.9-37.1%). Figure 3 shows a baraminic tree with species from Lilium, Nomacharis, Notholirion, and Fritillaria. The species in this group are characterized karyotypically of two long metacentric chromosomes and ten medium-length telocentric chromosomes (Patterson and Givnish, 2002).

Cluster #9 is made up of three species, *Heloniopsis tubiflora*, *Ypsilandra thibetica*, and *Ypsilandra yunnanensis*. These are two genera from the family Melanthiaceae.

Cluster #10 is made up of a single species, *Medeola virginiana*. It is the

Table I. A list of deletions from the *T. altaica* clp genome compared to the other species of *Tulipa*.

Start position	End position	Deletion length
6167	6476	310
13947	14060	114
29737	29897	161
30209	31056	848
43552	43749	198
44137	44364	228
45956	46349	394
59234	59583	350
64825	65359	535
66671	67559	889
110019	110440	422
112854	113970	1117

Table II. List of genes and rRNA molecules missing from the *T. altaica* clp genome compared to the other *Tulipa* species.

Gene name/function		
ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit		
acetyl-CoA carboxylase carboxyltransferase beta subunit		
chloroplast envelope membrane protein		
cytochrome c heme attachment protein		
hypothetical chloroplast RF19		
hypothetical protein RF1		
hypothetical protein RF2		
hypothetical protein RF68		
NADH-plastoquinone oxidoreductase subunit 7		
PetG		
photosystem II CP43 chlorophyll apoprotein		
photosystem I subunit IX		
ribosomal protein L32		
RNA polymerase beta" subunit		
Ycf3		

only species in its genus, *Medeola*. It belongs to the family Liliaceae.

Cluster #11 is made up of 35 species, two from the genus *Trillium*, four from *Daiswa*, and 29 from *Paris*. Whereas Wood (2008) assigned *Paris* and *Daiswa* into the same holobaramin, he found that *Trillium* forms its own holobaramin.

Chloroplast genome analysis performed by Huang et al. (2016) shows that the genus *Paris* can be split up into two segregate genera, namely *Paris* and *Daiswa*. Jiang et al. (2022) also found that several species from *Trillium* and *Paris* intermingle with one another. Furthermore, several species from *Paris* and *Trillium* have a genome size 1C greater than 100 Gb, with *P. japonica* having the largest known eukaryotic genome at around 150 Gbp (Yang et al., 2019).

The baraminic tree in Figure 4 shows four *Daiswa* species intermingling with species from the genus *Paris*. Besides this, *Daiswa* and *Trillium* are sister taxa, implying that *Trillium* could be included in the *Paris+Daiswa+Trillium* = Parideae tribe/holobaramin. In the clp genome sequence similarity matrix *T. camschatcense* and *T. govanianum* have a sequence similarity of 92.4%. A bit surprisingly, they have an average sequence similarity of 92.8% with species from *Paris* and *Daiswa*. This implies that *Trillium* is part of the Parideae holobaramin.

Do et al. (2014) suggest that the chloroplast envelope membrane protein A (*cemA*) gene may be used as a molecular taxonomic marker to identify species from Paridae. They base this on the divergent protein sequence after the first four amino acids. This gene has apparently undergone pseudogenization due to stop codons in its amino acid sequence.

Cluster #12 is made up of six species from the genus *Smilax*, in the family Smilacaceae. These are *Smilax glabra*, *S. goeringii*, *S. microphylla*, *S. moranensis*, *S. riparia*, and *S. weniae*. Table III. A list of indels \geq 50 bp between *Disporum sessile* and other *Disporum* species in a multiple alignment of their clp genomes.

Start pos. in alignment	Length of indel	Insertion (ins) or deletion (del)
1	164	Ins
6096	54	Ins
6844	366	Del
8866	149	Ins
30297	119	Del
31528	125	Del
32528	434	Del
33365	73	Del
47605	56	Ins
48670	143	Ins
50104	79	Del
53089	89	Del
59164	106	Ins
59617	51	Ins
66571	54	Del
67716	157	Ins
96781	616	Del
114518	1727	Ins
118877	50	Ins
119887	61	Ins
133685	180	Ins
152308	616	Del

The next two clusters, #13 and #14 are a special case. Zhang et al. (2021) also found that the genus *Veratrum* is monophyletic based on a study of the genes and intergenic regions of 10 *Veratrum* species. Here the 13 species from the genus *Veratrum* are split into two groups, which show up separate clusters on the baraminic heatmap in Figure 1. The first group, cluster #13 contains ten species: *Veratrum dahuricum*, *V. grandiflorum*, *V. japonicum*, *V. maackii*, *V. mengtzeanum*, *V. nanchuanense*, *V. nigrum*, *V. oblongum*, *V. schindleri*, and V. stenophyllum. The three species from cluster #14 are V. oxysepalum, V. patulum, and V. taliense. The mean sequence similarity between these two groups is $90\pm1.1\%$. Within cluster #13 this is $97.8\pm1.7\%$. Within cluster #14 this value is $97.9\pm1.2\%$.

What is the cause of this disparity in sequence similarity? Does it mean that there are two *Veratrum* holobaramins? Or could there be an underlying genetic reason for this difference? It could be possible that since the chloroplast genome is approximately ten times



Parideae baramin

larger than the mitochondrial genome, in a geometric sense this allows for more mutations, such as insertions, deletions, and inversions to occur. This we have already seen in the case of the five Disporum species. Indeed, a 17,608 bp inversion was found in the genomes of cluster #13. This inversion was also discovered by Chen et al. (2021) in the chloroplast genome of V. oxyse*palum*, which they found clustered the closest to V. patulum. This inversion encompasses 13 genes: NdhF, RPL32, tRNA-Leu, CcsA, NdhD, PsaC, NdhE, NdhG, NdhI, NdhA, NdhH, RPS15, and Ycfl. See Supplementary File 6 to view the gene orders of these 13 Veratrum species as well as Xerophyllum tenax, an outlier. Figure 5 displays the clp genomes of the 14 Veratrum species as well as the genome of X. tenax for comparison.

When did this large-scale inversion happen? Did this inversion affect the smaller group, meaning that they are a younger group? Or vice versa? The order of the 13 genes is the same between X. *tenax* and the species from cluster #14. Thus, the inversion predates the formation of the ten species in cluster #13. Interestingly, the loss of rps16 exon 2 is characteristic of *Veratrum* species compared to all other members of Liliales (Do et al., 2013).

This large-scale inversion of this segment of the DNA could have led to a speciation event, leading to the formation of two monobaramins. Since the inversion event affected 13 genes (9.6% of the 135 genes in the genomes of species from the *Veratrum* genus), these genes may have become dysregulated.

Cluster #15 is made up of a single species, *X. tenax*. It belongs to the genus *Xerophyllum*, which belongs to the family Melanthiaceae.

Lastly, cluster #16 is made up of the four outlier species from the genus *Stemona*. This genus belongs to the order Pandanales.



Figure 5. Gene order map of the chloroplast genomes of 13 *Veratrum* species and *Xerophyllum tenax* (outlier). *V. oxysepalum*, *V. patulum*, and *V. taliense* form a small group separate from the other ten species. The red line denotes the large-scale inversion of 17,608 bp.

Summary and Conclusion

This is the first molecular baraminology study that involves the analysis of the entire chloroplast genome. While there was no mitochondrial data available for these Liliales species, the present results were comparable with a previously made morphological analysis. Whereas Wood unified *Alstromeria* and *Dispo*- rum, the molecular evidence splits them into two separate groups, while putting together Luzuriaga and Alstromeria. Conversely, molecular evidence unifies Daiswa, Paris, and Trillium, whereas morphological evidence separates Trillium from the other two. Although the groups found here are tentative, if they coincide with the results from other studies, that may strengthen their status as a holobaramin.

Table IV shows the similarities and differences in baraminic classification in 42 species from these six genera between the molecular and the morphological study. It may be that further study is needed, especially since the chloroplast genome makes up only a small fraction



of the genome, similar to the mtDNA. However, since the genetic evidence is primary compared to the morphological data, the results drawn from the molecular analysis should be given prominence.

Since the chloroplast genome is longer than the mtDNA, geometrically it offers a larger surface for mutations to occur in. Even though a longer sequence makes more robust analysis possible, mutations, such as insertions and deletions make the picture more complex. These kinds of mutations may possibly lead to speciation events and the formation of new monobaramins. As in the case of *Disporum*, *Tulipa*, and *Veratrum*, insertions, deletions, and largescale inversions decreased the sequence similarity between species. This means that further detailed analysis might be needed to clarify baraminic relationships between species.

In summary, six groups can be proposed to form holobaramins, each with at least five members. These are *Tulipa*+Amana, Disporum, Fritillari a+Lilium+Nomocharis+Notholirion, Table IV. Baraminic placement of 42 Liliales species with both an available chloroplast genome sequence, and which were analyzed in the Wood (2008) morphology study.

Species	Sequence similarity classification	Morphological classification
Alstroemeria hybrid	1	A
Luzuriaga radicans	1	В
Disporum sessile	2	А
Disporum cantoniense	2	А
Disporum megalanthum	2	А
Disporum uniflorum	2	А
Disporum viridescens	2	А
Daiswa chinensis	3	С
Daiswa dunniana	3	С
Daiswa forrestii	3	С
Daiswa yunnanensis	3	С
Paris axialis	3	С
Paris bashanensis	3	С
Paris birmanica	3	С
Paris caobangensis	3	С
Paris cronquistii	3	С
Paris daliensis	3	С
Paris delavayi	3	С
Paris dulongensis	3	С
Paris forrestii	3	С
Paris incomplete	3	С
Paris japonica	3	С
Paris liana	3	С
Paris luquanensis	3	С
Paris mairei	3	С
Paris marmorata	3	С
Paris polyphylla	3	С
Paris polyphylla var. emeiensis	3	С
Paris qiliangiana	3	С
Paris quadrifolia	3	С
Paris rugosa	3	С
Paris sp. CG-2021b	3	С
Paris tengchongensis	3	С
Paris tetraphylla	3	С
Paris thibetica	3	С
Paris undulata	3	С
Paris vaniotii	3	С
Paris vietnamensis	3	С
Paris xichouensis	3	С
Paris yanchii	3	С
Trillium camschatcense	3	D
Trillium govanianum	3	D

Daiswa+Paris+Trillium, *Smilax*, and *Veratrum*. As of the present writing (February 22, 2023), there are 11,834 chloroplast/plastid genome sequences in the Organelle Genome Browser, which means many chloroplast genome-based molecular baraminology studies can be carried out.

Jeansen (2013) analyzed approximately 2,700 mitochondrial genomes, and found that differences between kinds were due not to random changes since creation, but rather, were due to functional changes in the mtDNA. A similar study could be performed for a large number of chloroplast genomes to discover similar functional changes that may possibly be the basis for differences within plant kinds.

Supplementary Files

Supplementary File 1. Sequence metadata, sequence similarity matrix, cluster partitioning and cluster statistics of the 165 Liliales species used in this study.

Supplementary File 2. Values from the elbow, silhouette and Calinski plots, seen in Supplementary Figures 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

Supplementary File 3. Multiple alignment of species from the genus *Tulipa*.

Supplementary File 4. Gene order list of species from the genus *Tulipa*.

Supplementary File 5. Multiple alignment of species from the genus *Disporum*.

Supplementary File 6. Gene order list of species from the genus *Veratrum*.

References

- Chen, Z.J., J.J. Liu, Y.M. Zhang, Z.G. Qian and G.D. Li. 2021. The complete chloroplast genome sequence of *Veratrum* oxysepalum and phylogenetic analysis. *Mitochondrial DNA B: Resources* 6(7): 2015–2016.
- Cserhati, M., and J. Alquist. 2019. Baraminology suggests cryptic relationships among Caprimulgiformes, *Journal of Creation* 33(3): 66–76.
- Cserhati, M. 2021. Molecular and morphological analysis predicts four bat baramins. *Journal of Creation* 35(1): 117–127.
- Cserhati, M. 2021. Prediction of monophyletic groups based on gene order and sequence similarity in organelle DNA. *American Journal of Molecular Biology* 11(4): 83–99.
- Cserhati, M. 2022. Molecular baraminology of primates. *Creation Research Society Quarterly* 59(2): 72–80.
- Cserhati, M., and E. Moynaugh. 2022. Pinniped molecular baraminology. Creation Research Society Quarterly 58(3): 193–203.
- Do, H.D., J.S. Kim, and J.H. Kim. 2013. Comparative genomics of four Liliales families inferred from the complete chloroplast genome sequence of Veratrum patulum O. Loes. (Melanthiaceae). *Gene* 530(2): 229–235. doi: 10.1016/j. gene.2013.07.100.
- Do, H.D., J.S. Kim, and J.H. Kim. 2014. A trnI_CAU triplication event in the complete chloroplast genome of Paris verticillata M.Bieb. (Melanthiaceae, Liliales). Genome Biology and Evolution 6(7): 1699–1706. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evu138.
- Gao, Y.D., and X.F. Gao. 2016. Accommodating *Nomocharis* in *Lilium* (Liliaceae). *Phytotaxa* 277(2): 205–210. doi: 10.11646/phytotaxa.277.2.8.
- Göker, M.W. 2011. Cluster analysis: UP-GMA and WPGMA. In Volume 1. C.P. Kurtzman, J.W. Fell, and T. Boekhout, (editors). Elsevier, New York, NY.
- Huang, Y., X. Li, Z. Yang, C. Yang, J. Yang, and Y. Ji. 2016. Analysis of complete chloroplast genome sequences improves

phylogenetic resolution in *Paris* (Melanthiaceae). *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7: 1797. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01797.

- Janssen, T., and K. Bremer. 2004. The age of major monocot groups inferred from 800+ *rbcL* sequences. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 146(4): 385–398.
- Jeansen, N. 2013. Recent, functionally diverse origin for mitochondrial genes from ~2700 metazoan species. *Answers Research Journal* 6(2013): 467–501.
- Jiang, Y., Y. Miao, J. Qian, Y. Zheng, C. Xia, Q. Yang, C. L iu, L. Huang, and B. Duan. 2022. Comparative analysis of complete chloroplast genome sequences of five endangered species and new insights into phylogenetic relationships of *Paris. Gene* 833: 146572. doi: 10.1016/j. gene.2022.146572.
- Katoh, K., and D.M. Standley. 2014. MAFFT: Iterative refinement and additional methods. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 1079: 131–46. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-646-7_8.
- Kim, J.S., and J.H. Kim. 2013. Comparative genome analysis and phylogenetic relationship of order Liliales insight from the complete plastid genome sequences of two Lilies (*Lilium longiflorum* and *Alstroemeria aurea*). PLoS ONE 8: e68180. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0068180.
- Li, P., R.S. Lu, W.Q. Xu, T. Ohi-Toma, M.Q. Cai, Y.X. Qiu, K.M. Cameron, and C.X. Fu. 2017. Comparative genomics and phylogenomics of East Asian Tulips (Amana, Liliaceae). Frontiers in Plant Science 8: 451. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2017.00451.
- Li, J., M. Price, D.M. Su, Z. Zhang, Y. Yu, D.F. Xie, S.D. Zhou, X.J. He, and X.F. Gao. 2021. Phylogeny and comparative analysis for the plastid genomes of five *Tulipa* (Liliaceae). *BioMed Research International* 2021: 6648429. doi: 10.1155/2021/6648429.
- Li, J., J. Cai, H.H. Qin, M. Price, Z. Zhang, Y. Yu, D.F. Xie, X.J. He, S.D. Zhou, and X.F. Gao. 2022. Phylogeny, age, and evolution of Tribe Lilieae (Liliaceae) based on whole plastid genomes. *Frontiers in*

Plant Science 12: 699226. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2021.699226.

- Liang, S.Y. 1995. Chorology of Liliaceae (s. str.) and its bearing on the Chinese flora. Acta Phytotaxonomica Sinica 33(1): 27–51.
- O'Micks, J. 2018. A preliminary cephalopod baraminology study based on the analysis of mitochondrial genomes and morphological characteristics. *Answers Research Journal* 11: 193–204.
- Patterson, T.B., and T.J. Givnish. 2002. Phylogeny, concerted convergence, and phylogenetic niche conservatism in the core Liliales: Insights from rbcL and ndhF sequence data. *Evolution* 56(2): 233–252. doi: 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002. tb01334.x.
- Robinson, D.A. 1997. A mitochondrial DNA analysis of the testudine apobaramin. *Creation Research Society Quarterly* 33(4): 262–272.
- Ujjwal, M., and B. Sanghamitra. 2002. Performance evaluation of some clustering algorithms and validity indices. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 24(12): 1650–1654.
- Vinnersten, A., and K. Bremer. 2001. Age and biogeography of major clades in Liliales. American Journal of Botany 88(9): 1695–703. PMID: 21669704.
- Wood, T.C., and D.P. Cavanaugh. 2001. A baraminological analysis of subtribe Flaveriinae (Asteraceae: Helenieae) and the origin of biological complexity. *Origins* 2001(52).
- Wood, T.C. 2008. Animal and plant baramins. CORE Issues in Creation 3: 195–204.
- Wood, T.C. 2013. Mitochondrial DNA analysis of three terrestrial mammal baramins (Equidae, Felidae, and Canidae) implies an accelerated mutation rate near the time of the Flood. *The Proceedings of the International Conference on Creationism* 7, article 35.
- Yang, L., Z. Yang, C. Liu, Z. He, Z. Zhang, J. Yang, H. Liu, J. Yang, and Y. Ji. 2019. Chloroplast phylogenomic analysis provides insights into the evolution of the largest eukaryotic genome holder, *Paris japonica* (Melanthiaceae). BMC

[BioMed Central] Plant Biology 19(1): 293. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-1879-7.

Zhang, Y.M., L.J., Han, C.W. Yang, Z.L. Yin, X. Tian, Z.G. Qian and G.D. Li. 2021. Comparative chloroplast genome analysis of medicinally important *Veratrum* (Melanthiaceae) in China: Insights into genomic characterization and phylogenetic relationships. *Plant Divers*ity 44(1): 70–82. doi: 10.1016/j.pld.2021.05.004.
Zhou, J-T., P-P. Yin, Y. Chen, and Y-P. Zhao. 2019. The complete chloroplast genome of *Tulipa altaica* (Liliaceae), a wild relative of tulip. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 4(1): 2017–2018. DOI: 10.1080/23802359.2019.1618221.

CRS Grants for Creation Research

Each year the National Science Foundation (NSF) distributes billions of dollars to support scientific research. This funding has catalyzed the development technologies we now take for granted—smartphone screens, weather radar, etc. Unfortunately, agencies like the NSF suffer from a major limitation—namely, the naturalistic worldview that dominates academia. Because of this presuppositional blind spot, they do not fund creation research.

The CRS of course does not have billions of dollars at its disposal. However, because of some generous donors, we do have the ability to provide some grants to fund investigation of the creation/flood model. If you have an idea for original research that could develop this model—but you need funding for equipment, books, site travel, etc.—we hope you would consider applying for a CRS grant.

Some things to keep in mind:

- Only CRS members are eligible to apply.
- The grant amount is \$5000 or less. (Larger requests require extraordinary circumstances.)
- The researcher must agree to submit an article to CRSQ based on the results of the research.

Here is the process:

- Proposals are accepted from January to March each year (see link below for proposal forms).
- Proposal reviews and funding decisions take place in April and May.
- Contracts for funded proposals go out at the start of June.

For more information, please see the CRS website (https://www.creationresearch.org/ vacrc-research-grants) or scan the QR code to the right. There is also a link on that page if you are interested in donating to help fund more creation research.

Scripture asks, "Who has despised the day of small things" (Zechariah 4:10)? These grants are small compared to the billions available to the NSF, but our prayer is that the Lord take these "small things"—which He enables us to do—and uses them for His glory.

